

R T U N REGIONAL UNIVERSITY NETWORK

Structural fire design approaches of sprinklered steel buildings using computational modelling

Teräsrakentamisen T&K-päivät 2023 August 24, 2023

Dilip Neupane, M.Sc (Tech) Zhongcheng Ma, D.Sc (Tech) [co-author] Steel Structures, HAMK Tech Research Unit Häme University of Applied Sciences





Agenda

- Overview of structural fire design approaches using computational modelling
- Fire dynamics modelling technique
- Thermal response modelling technique
- Structural response modeling technique
- Simulation examples of fire dynamics, thermal response and structural response model from RIFS project





Structural fire design approaches

• Design process to determine the performance of the structure exposed to fire using computational modelling.









Fire dynamcis model

- Sprinkler's model
 - Estimation of sprinkler's model parameters for FDS input
- Modelling of fire
 - Defining ignition source and heat release rate
 - Defining ignition temperature, heat release rate (HRRPUA) for combustible obstructions (objects)
- Modelling of other structure in fire dynamics model
 - Defining thermal material properties of obstruction
 - Defining relevant output request (thermocouple devices, 2D slice for temperature and similar)

Output: time-temperature curve





+6.122a+02 +5.512a+02 +5.512a+02 +5.512a+02 +5.512a+02 +5.502a+02 +3.552a+02 +3.552a+02 +3.552a+02 +3.554a+02 +3.554a+02 +3.254a+01 +3.254a+010



Thermal and structural analysis



Heat transfer procedure

Structural analysis procedure



Temperature points for beam section: ABAQUS

 \times

√ f(x)

Ð

Cancel







Thermal response analysis modelling technique

- Fire test: Concrete infilled steel column and topped steel beam
- Thermal analysis tecnique validation

Cooke, G.M.E, Latham, D.J. (1987). The inherent fire resistance of a loaded steel framework. *Steel Construction Today*, 1, 49-58.





(a) temperature histories in frame column cross-section

Time (min)

(b) Comparison of FE results and fire test





Structural response analysis modelling technique

- Fire test: Concrete infilled steel column and topped steel beam
- Structural analysis technique validation

Cooke, G.M.E, Latham, D.J. (1987). The inherent fire resistance of a loaded steel framework. Steel Construction Today, 1, 49-58.



(a) beam element model

using the implicit and explicit solution of beam element model.

Figure 31 FE models for simple frame

(a) FE model

(b) Comparison of FE results and fire test





Simulation examples of fire dynamics, thermal response and structural response model

- Examples from Risk-informed Fire Safety of sustainable sprinklered buildings using computational modeling (RIFS) project.
- Example structure 1: Lightweight Steel Framed (LSF-frame) residential building with sprinklers
- Example structure 2: Warehouse
- Fire scenarios: without sprinkler (case 1) and with sprinklers (case2)









Example streature 1: Lightweight Steel Framed (LSFframe) residential building with sprinklers

- Sprinkler's parameters estimation for FDS input
- Modeling of fire using heat release rate (HRR)
 - Defining ignition of fire starts in the middle of living room with heat release rate per unit area.
 - Modelling the combustible fuel on the struture using FDS default simple chemistry reaction model
- Fire dyanmics
- Thermal analysis of LSF wall panels
 - No sprinkler's case
- Structural analysis of critical LSF wall panels
 - No sprinkler's case





Example streature 1: Location of sprinklers, thermocouples and devices placed in FDS model



Figure 10 Location of sprinklers, thermocouples and devices placed in FDS model (bule dots represent the sprinklers, yellow dots represent the thermocouples).



Figure 12 Location of thermocouples below the ceiling



Figure 2 Configuration of the pipe array and the position of sprinklers within the apartment.



(a) Tyco resident sprinkler LF11 series





Example structure 1 : Estimation of sprinkler model parameter for FDS

1



12' x 12' (3.7 m x 3.7 m) Maximum Coverage Area 13 GPM (49.2 LPM) Flow

Figure 3 Wall wetting pattern for selected sprinkler LF11 K83.5 sprinkler (Serries LF11 johnsoncontrol.com, 2022)



Figure 4 Assumed spray profile pattern for selected sprinkler LF11 K83.5 Serries johnsoncontrol.com, 2022)

Flow	Velocity	Elevation	Elevation	Azimuth	Azimuth
fraction	(m/s)	angle 1 (°)	angle 2 (°)	angle 1 (°)	angle 2 (°)
0.5	10.5	0	51	0	360
0.2	10.5	51	63	0	360
0.2	10.5	62	81	0	360
0.1	10.5	81	89	0	360







Example structure 1: Estimation of sprinkler model parameter for FDS Table 4 Summary of input parameters used in FDS to model sprinklers.



(c) AMPUA (Accumulated mass per unit area) in the wall wetting. The dotted box is the area shown equivalent figure (a).

Figure 5 Wall wetting pattern from TYCO documents and FDS simulation when initial velocity v=10.5 m/s

Description/Comments Temperature link Residential link From manufacture document Activation 68°C temperature Response Time Fast response from Viking [1] Activation 50 (m/s)^{1/2} Index (RTI) $0 (m/s)^{1/2}$ (default) C factor Ejected particles Water particles Flow rate K-factor Operating pressure 83.5 From manufacture document 0.52 bar L/min.atm^1/2 Calculated using equation $K\sqrt{p}$ Calculated flow 60 L/min rate Jet Stream Calculated from equation 1 based Spray on the minimum required 4.33 m/s model Initial velocity operating pressure 0.52 bar calculated by dividing the volume 10.5 m/s flow by the orifice area Offset Stream type Offset: assumed based on cell size 0.1 m Spray Table Spray table: According to Table Assumed, default in FDS is 5000 Droplet per second 10000 Size distribution Calculated from equation 2 and Median diameter 1161 um round up default Rosin-Rammler-Water Distribution lognormal particles 20um default Minimum diameter Max diameter Infinity default Gamma D 2.4 default





Example streuture 1: Case 1 and Case







(b) HRR and temperature without sprinkler

P11b, VSK11 and US11 Surface wall temperatu

Time (min)

VP115 SURF BR

VP11b SURF BR

VP11b SURF ER VP11b SURF BR3 VSK11 SURF BR3

US11 SURF BE

US11 SURF LE



≝₃₀₀

100

(a)



Figure 21 Comparison of surface and inner temperatures of ceiling VP11b, internal wall VSK and external wall US11 from Case 2 fire scenario.

(a) HRR and temperature with sprinkler





Cavity

radiation

Ż

Cavity

radiation



Example struture 1: Thermal response model

Case 1: No sprinkler's





Case 1: Sprinkler present









Example structure 1: Structural response model

Structural response of critcal LSF wall panels







Example strcuture 2 : Warehouse

- Sprinkler's parameters
- Modeling of fire
 - Defining ignition of fire starts in the middle of living room with heat release rate per unit area
 - Modelling the combustible fuel on the struture using FDS default simple chemistry reaction model. HRR of a typical wood pallet (SFPE Handbook 2016).
- Fire dyanmics
- Thermal analysis member (2D thermal analysis)
- Structural analysis of wareouse as tubular frame solutions





Example structure 2: Estimation of sprinkler model parameter for FDS







Example structure 2: Estimation of sprinkler model parameter for FDS input

- Tyco Storage sprinklers
 Model ESFR-25
- 25.5 K-factor Pendent
 Sprinkler
- Early Suppression, Fast Response



Picture: tyco-fire.com

1 able	4. Summary of input p	arameters used in 1 DS	to model sprinkiers.		
			Description/Comments		
Activation	Temperature link	Storage			
	Activation temperature	100°C	From manufacture document		
	Response Time index	EQ (m/a)1/2	Fast response from Viking [1]		
	(RTI)	50 (m/s)***			
	C factor	0 (m/s) ^{1/2} (default)			
	Ejected particles	Water particles			
-	Flow	ate			
Spray	Operating pressure K-factor				
model	1 bar	362.9 L/min.atm^1/2	From manufacture document		
	Calculated flow rate	366.4 L/min	Calculated using equation $K\sqrt{p}$		
	Jet St	ream			
	Initial velocity	6 m/s	Calculated from equation 1 based on the minimum required operating pressure 0.52 bar		
		14.7 m/s	calculated by dividing the volume flow by the orifice area		
	Offset	Stream type			
	0.17 m	Spray Table	Offset: assumed based on cell size Spray table: According to Table 2		
	Droplet per second	8000	Assumed, default in FDS is 5000		
	Size distribution				
	Median diameter	1520 µm	Calculated from equation 2 and round up		
Water particles	Distribution	Rosin- <u>Rammler</u> - lognormal	default		
	Minimum diameter	20um	default		
	Max diameter	Infinity	default		
	Gamma D	2.4	default		





Example streature 2: Location of sprinklers, thermocouples and devices placed in FDS model



•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	massframe-THOU
3-transforme-100.67	e 3- truss fr	aane-1180.42 a-trussfr	ame-116.37 3-31 mode	ame-1HC32_3-trunsfe	ame-14627_3-transf	ranae-THC22_J-transfr	one-1HC17 ₀ 3-trunsf	name-116:125-trunsfra	me-118307 •	etana franse-110002
									ener-118018 • 1	nasframe-1000)
										ataas firaano - 118200
									mest#210	russ frame - 116:03







Results: Case 1 simulation vidoes











Results: Case 2 simulation vidoes







Results: Case 1 and Case 2













Thermal analysis of member



Example strcuture 2: Thermal response model







Example structure 2: Structural response





Structural model





Thank you for you attenion !

Dilip Neupane, M.Sc (Tech)

Research Engineer, Steel Structures, HAMK Tech Research Unit Häme University of Applied Sciences <u>dilip.neupane@hamk.fi</u> Mobile: +358 50 5174 996 Visakaarre 9, Hämeenlinna, Finland P.O. Box 230, FI-13101 Hämeenlinna, Finland

Zhongcheng Ma, D.Sc (Tech)

Principal Research Scientist and Research Group Leader in Steel Structures HAMK Tech Research Unit Häme University of Applied Sciences <u>zhongcheng.ma@hamk.fi</u> Mobile: +358 50 4361383 Visakaarre 9, Hämeenlinna, Finland P.O. Box 230, FI-13101 Hämeenlinna, Finland