

# Shear Strength Test Methods of Steel Faced Sandwich Panels

Shekhar Silwal, Kristo Mela (Tampere University) Zhongcheng Ma (HAMK University of Applied Sciences



HAMK-Häme University



## Introduction

- Sandwich panel is the structural element consisting of two thin metal facings joined together using a lowstrength core material with the desired thickness.
- Sandwich panels are utilized for external walls or roofing and are exposed to transverse loads predominantly from wind and snow, resulting in bending and shear stresses.
- Sandwich panels used in construction typically consist of thin steel faces and a solid core made of materials such as mineral wool (MW), glass wool, polyurethane (PU), or polyisocyanurate (PIR).









## **Material Characterization**





## **Shear strength test methods**

- The shear strength of the panel is defined as the shear strength of the core
- Sandwich panel production and design are governed by the standard EN 14509:2013
- A new section of EN 1993 is being developed specifically for the design of steel-faced sandwich panels.
- EN14509:2013 Specifies two main test methods for shear test:
- 1. Two-point test (Shear beam test method)
- 2. Full-scale test





## **Shear Beam Test Method**

- In the early 2000s, it became clear that the 2-point loading method was suitable for thicker panel mainly with MW cores.
- In the EN 14509:2013 revision, a 4-point load test was incorporated and acknowledged.
- However, no specific formulas were provided for the computation of the shear modulus.
- EN 14509:2013 also lacks the guidelines on the test setup
- In present revision prEN 14509-3 more information is given on 4-point test methods.



## **Beam Specimen (MW Panels)**





- · Beam specimens must be cut using the full width of lamella
- · Any Lamella joint within the span of the beam must be excluded

Tampere University

#### 2-point Shear Beam Test Method (EN 14509)

- 2-point load beam test is dominant method for determining shear properties of sandwich panels.
- The progression of this approach has not kept pace with the developmental trajectory of the assessed products.
- This presents issues and emerges as a susceptibility within the framework of the EN 14509 standard.
- The widely adopted 2-point load beam test for assessing shear strength may not always lead to shear failure.
- This implies that the method does not provide the correct shear strength, as the full shear capacity is not reached in the test.



#### Tampere University









# 4-point Shear Beam Test Method

- · The four-point loading test setup was developed based on a process of trial and error by:
- > Varying span length

T Tampere University

- Loading and support plate widths
- Distance between loading plates

Until consistent core shear failure was attained.

 The trial tests were conducted using the thickest panel (200 mm)



Distance between  $U_{p1 and} U_{p2} = 200$ Failure mode was delamination

Distance between  $U_{n1 \text{ and }} U_{n2} = 100$ Failure mode was delamination

Distance between  $U_{p1 and} U_{p2} = 15$ 

**Tampere University** 

#### 4-point Shear Beam test Method



Tampere University

### 4-point test Method : Failure modes





### 2-point vs 4-point test

		Shear Strength	Shear strength from	Change in shear
	Panel type	form 2-Load point test	4-point load test	strength
		[MPa]	[MPa]	[in %]
Flat	MW 100	0,0693	0,0688	-1 %
	MW 200	0,05314	0,0565	6 %
	A-MW 100	0,0684	0,0675	-1 %
	A-MW 200	0,05788	0,06936	17 %
	PIR 100	0,13	0,15332	15 %
	PIR 200	0,0874	0,1088	20 %
Profiled	R-MW 140/100	0,06472	0,06714	4 %
	R-MW 190/150	0,0514	0,0553	7 %
	<b>R-PIR 140/100</b>	0,12698	0,14174	10 %
	<b>R-PIR 210/170</b>	0,0939	0,1087	14 %
<u> </u>				



## **Full-Scale test Method**

- Vacuum box is used to conduct a full-scale test which generates a uniformly distributed load over the sandwich panels.
- Modernized Vacuum box
- ✓ Accurate Load recording
- ✓ Fully-automatized
- $\checkmark$  Easy to control the loading rate
- $\checkmark$  Easy to optimize the results





#### Vacuum box: Test setup





Load cell position
Support beam
Beam RHS 100x80X4



Force-displacement curve obtained after the test



#### **Full-Scale Test setup**











### **Shear force/Width**



31/08/2023 | 18



#### **Shear Strength**



<sup>■ 2-</sup>point ■ 4-point ■ Full-scale



#### Shear force distribution between core and Profiled face



For uniformly loaded Profiled panels:

$$V_f(\xi) = \frac{F_u \alpha}{(1+\alpha)} \left[ \frac{1-2\xi}{2} + \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\lambda(1-2\xi)}{2}\right)}{\alpha \lambda \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)} \right]$$

$$V_{S}(\xi) = \frac{F_{u}}{(1+\alpha)} \left[ \frac{1-2\xi}{2} + \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\lambda(1-2\xi)}{2}\right)}{\lambda\cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)} \right]$$



# Conclusion

- The 4-point loading method resulted in improved shear strength as compared to 2-point test method
- The full-scale test resulted in all the specimens failing in shear and it is found to be the most effective shear test method for the sandwich panels with MW cores and thicker PIR panels
- The detailed guidelines on the four-point loading test is developed



#### Thank You!

Shekhar Silwal shekhar.silwal@tuni.fi



**LUUKKI** 

